



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/730,592	12/07/2000	James Michael Fitzpatrick	PIP-53-FITZ-US	9232

31518 7590 08/19/2009
NEIFELD IP LAW, PC
4813-B EISENHOWER AVENUE
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22304

EXAMINER

AKINTOLA, OLABODE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3691

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

08/19/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

general@neifeld.com
rneifeld@neifeld.com
rhahl@neifeld.com

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09730592	12/7/2000	FITZPATRICK, JAMES MICHAEL	PIP-53-FITZ-US

NEIFELD IP LAW, PC
4813-B EISENHOWER AVENUE
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22304

EXAMINER

OLABODE AKINTOLA

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

3691	20090806
------	----------

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

This communication is in response to the remand by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on 26 July 2009. This communication cancels claims 1-4, 24-27, 40-49, 53 and 54 as instructed by the Board.

GROUND OF REJECTION NOT ON REVIEW

The following grounds of rejection have not been withdrawn by the examiner, but they are not under review on appeal because they have not been presented for review in the appellant's brief.

Claims 1-2, 24-25, 40-41, 44, 46, 53 and 54 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daniel et al. U.S. Patent 4,972,504 in view of Reuhl et al. U.S. Patent 5,873,069.

Claims 3, 26 and 42 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daniel et al. U.S. Patent 4,972,504 in view of Reuhl et al. U.S. Patent 5,873,069 and further in view of Sloane U.S. Patent 5,918,211.

Claims 4, 27 and 43 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daniel et al. U.S. Patent 4,972,504 in view of Reuhl et al. U.S. Patent 5,873,069 and further in view of Day et al. U.S. Patent 5,857,175.

Claims 45 and 47-49 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daniel et al. U.S. Patent 4,972,504 in view of Reuhl et al. U.S. Patent 5,873,069 and further in view of Riordan U.S. Patent 6,078,891.

Since claims 1-4, 24-27, 40-49, 53 and 54 were not presented for review, claims 1-4, 24-27, 40-49, 53 and 54 are cancelled and are not under consideration for purposes of this appeal.

The Examiner believes the application is in condition for review by the Board and will forward the case to the Board. No response is required by the Applicant.

/Hani M. Kazimi/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691